

**STATE OF LOUISIANA
COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND CIRCUIT
430 Fannin Street
Shreveport, LA 71101
(318) 227-3700**

No. 56,900-CW
Consolidated with
56,901-CW and 56,902-CW

GEORGE REAGAN HARDAWAY,
NEELY HARDAWAY, CASSIE HARDAWAY,
AND RAYLA HARDAWAY MCKISSACK

VERSUS

AEROPRES CORPORATION

-and-

JUANITRIK JONES AS THE ADMINISTRATOR OF
THE ESTATE OF HER MINOR CHILD, KASON HAWK;
TAMEKIA ALLUMS AS THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE
OF HER MINOR CHILD, MAKEL HAWK; AND CHASITY HAWK
AS THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF HER
MINOR CHILD, TATYANA HAWK ALL ON HER BEHALF
OF THE DECEASED, CHANCEY HAWK

VERSUS

AEROPRES CORPORATION

-and-

DANIEL PAYNE

VERSUS

AEROPRES CORPORATION

FILED: 01/13/26

RECEIVED: E-FILED 01/12/26

On application of XTO Energy Inc for SUPERVISORY WRIT AND STAY in Nos.
80,686, 80,687, and 80,688 on the docket of the Twenty Sixth Judicial District, Parish of
WEBSTER, Judge Frank Hardy Thaxton, III, Ad Hoc.

PETTIETTE ARMOND DUNKELMAN LLP
Robert A. Dunkelman
Valerie A. DeLatte

Counsel for:
XTO Energy, Inc.

-and-

LISKOW & LEWIS
Cherrell R. Simms Taplin, Esq.
Melanie N Derefinko
Valerie Ann DeLatte
Trinity A Morale

JUGE NAPOLITANO RULI PUTFARK ET AL
Jeffrey Charles Napolitano

Counsel for:
Aeropres Corporation

ARMOUR LAW FIRM LLC
Bonita Kay Preuett-Armour

Counsel for:
National Union Fire
Insurance Company

UNGLESBY LAW FIRM
Lewis Owens Unglesby
-and-
Ronald J. Miciotto
-and-
John Schuyler Marvin

Counsel for:
George Reagan
Hardaway, Rayla
Hardaway McKissa,
Neely Hardaway, Cassie
Hardaway

MORRIS & DEWETT, LLC
Josh L. Powell

Counsel for:
Juanitrik Jones, Kason
Hawk, Tatyana Hawk,
Chasity Hawk, Tamekia
Allums, Makel Hawk,
Chancey Hawk, and
Daniel Payne

Before ROBINSON, MARCOTTE, and ELLENDER, JJ.

WRIT DENIED.

The applicant, XTO Energy, Inc. (“XTO”), seeks supervisory review of the trial court’s November 7, 2025, ruling denying its motion for partial summary judgment raising the subject of profitability. Plaintiffs did not raise profitability as a separate cause of action but rather as a part of their argument asserting their cause of action for negligence and premises liability. Arguments by Plaintiffs and XTO regarding any duty XTO may have had based on profitability have been addressed by this Court in XTO’s writ applications in No. 56,854-CW, consolidated with No. 56,855-CW and No. 56,856-CW, regarding the ruling on its partial motion to suppress on the issue of premises liability. Accordingly, this writ is denied as moot.

Shreveport, Louisiana, this 10 day of March, 2026.

COM DR DJE

FILED: March 10, 2026

Melby Melton

DEPUTY CLERK